Vienna Doctoral School of Pharmaceutical, Nutritional and Sport Sciences

## FÖP Exposé guidelines for PhD candidates



### With your Exposé and presentation at the FÖP you

- a) Demonstrate that you carefully planned and re-thought your PhD project.
- b) Provide a comprehensive outline of your PhD project to the faculty members.
- c) Allow the faculty to judge the quality and feasibility of your project, to provide valuable and constructive feedback, and finally approve your thesis endeavour.

If your project is part of a larger, externally funded, collaborative proposal led by your PI, please focus on your individual contributions aimed at achieving your PhD.

#### Structure and Content of your Exposé

#### A) Title page:

- (Working)Title of your dissertation project.
- Your name, student ID number and email address.
- Thesis Advisory Committee (TAC) of at least a three 3 experts in your research topic, consisting of
  - o Supervisor(s): minimum 1, must be a habilitated or tenured professor, one of the supervisors must be from the University of Vienna (PhaNuSp PI panel).
  - o Advisor(s): optimal 2, can be an experienced postdoc, senior scientist or professor. They can be from the University of Vienna or external.
- Funding: employment/fellowship/others and costs for consumables.
  - B) Main part: Between 8 and max. 10 pages (font size 11 pt, min. line spacing 1) and consisting of
  - Introduction: Background, current state of research, what is your specific area of research, where are you starting from.
  - Aims, main questions, significance of your research: Research questions/hypothesis, what do you want to achieve and answer with your thesis project, why is this important.
- Research methos and workplan: What methods and experimental setups will you use to address your aims and research questions? What infrastructure and/or collaborators do you need or you have on your disposal? Which methods are already running in your lab, which will need to be established or optimised?
- **Timetable**: When do you plan to do what and achieve your goals. Please provide a Gantt chart.
- Contingency plan & risk analysis: Where do you see potential problems in following your research plan? Have you considered solutions/alternatives?
- Funding/Financial stability to conduct PhD studies: For example, are you employed by the University of Vienna or another institution, can you work full-time or part-time on your dissertation project, description of funding such as coverage of consumables, etc.?
- TAC Committee: Choice of your supervisor(s) and advisor(s) including their affiliation, etc.

#### C) Cited References



# Review guidelines for PhaNuSpo Beiräte / Pls



Your expert review is very valuable. **Firstly**, it supports the PhD candidate in thoroughly and scientifically planning a solid innovative research proposal and plan. **Secondly**, it supports the Doctoral Study Programme Director (DSPL) to reach his:her final decision on whether to approve or reject the project for the PhD study programme.

- The exposé is usually the first scientific proposal that the PhD candidate has had reviewed.
- Therefore, your review should pay special attention to the innovation, rational experimental design, feasibility, and potential bottlenecks of the presented individual PhD project. Suggestions how to improve writing style, structure or content of the Exposé are also highly welcome.
  - Note: If the dissertation project is part of a larger and competitive externally funded collaborative research project led by the PI (supervisor), Please pay special attention to the PhD candidate's contribution, ensuring that it results in a dissertation project thesis that is fully independent and clearly defined. The quality of the entire third-party funded project should be beyond questioned.
- If there are some concerns/ unclarities/ missing information, these should be explicitly mentioned. Those will be addressed/ discussed during the FÖP and (hopefully) clarified so that the project can be finally approved.
- Do not hesitate to provide any constructive criticism or feedback, which is always helpful and appreciated. Just be mindful of your tone so as not to unintentionally discourage our early career researchers.
- Please return your review on time within the given deadline two weeks before the public presentation date.
- Your review will be forwarded to the respective PhD candidate and their supervisor(s) and internal advisor(s) prior to the FÖP, unless you explicitly opt out in the return email to the PhaNuSpo office. This will allow the PhD candidate to properly reconsider your input.